EXHIBIT 56

| 4 | IN THE LIMITED OTATEO DIOTRIOT COURT | Page 1 |
|-----|--------------------------------------|--------|
| 1 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| • | FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | NIKKI BOLLINGER GRAE, Individually | |
| | d Behalf of All Others Similarly | |
| 5 | Situated, | |
| 6 | Plaintiff, | |
| VS. | | |
| 7 | 3:16-CV-02267 | |
| CC | PRRECTIONS CORPORATION OF | |
| 8 | AMERICA, et al., | |
| 9 | Defendants. | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | CONFIDENTIAL | |
| 13 | VIDEO DEPOSITION OF EMILEE BEACH | |
| 14 | Nashville, Tennessee | |
| 15 | February 11, 2020 | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | Reported by: | |
| 21 | Elisabeth A. Miller Lorenz | |
| 22 | RMR, CRR, LCR No. 66 | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | Job No.: 10066481 | |
| 25 | | |

Page 2 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE 2 3 4 NIKKI BOLLINGER GRAE, Individually and Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, 5 6 Plaintiff. CASE NO. VS. 7 3:16-CV-02267 CORRECTIONS CORPORATION OF 8 AMERICA, et al., 9 Defendants. 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 Video deposition of EMILEE BEACH was taken 17 on behalf of Plaintiff, at Riley, Warnock & 18 Jacobson, 1906 West End Avenue, Nashville, 19 Tennessee, beginning at 9:33 a.m., and ending at 20 21 5:37 p.m., on Tuesday, February 11, 2020, before Elisabeth A. Miller Lorenz, RMR, CRR, and LCR No. 22 23 66. 24 25

Page 3 APPEARANCES: 1 2 For the Plaintiff: 3 **ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD** BY: KENNETH J. BLACK BY: WILLOW RADCLIFFE 4 One Montgomery Street 5 **Suite 1800** San Francisco, California 94104 415.288.4545 6 kennyb@rgrdlaw.com wradcliffe@rgrdlaw.com 7 8 For the Defendants: 9 RILEY, WARNOCK & JACOBSON 10 BY: TREY McGEE 1906 West End Avenue Nashville, Tennessee 37203 11 615.320.3700 tmcgee@rwjplc.com 12 13 LATHAM & WATKINS BY: ERIC C. PETTIS 14 355 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 90071-1560 213.485.1234 15 eric.pettis@lw.com 16 17 Also Present: 18 David Drumel, Videographer 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 8 Will the court reporter please swear in 1 the witness. 2 3 **EMILEE BEACH** 4 was called as a witness, and after having been first 5 duly sworn, testified as follows: 6 7 EXAMINATION BY MS. RADCLIFFE: 8 9 Q Good morning. Could you please spell your name for the 10 11 record? 12 Α Emilee Beach; E-M-I-L-E-E, B-E-A-C-H. 13 Q And where do you reside presently? 14 Α 15 16 Q And have you been deposed before? 17 I have not. 18 So we're going to go over a couple ground Q rules. You probably heard these from your counsel. 19 We're going to go over a couple of them. 20 21 We're going to try not to talk over each 22 other because it's a written transcript and so it will be a little muddled if we start talking over 23 24 each other. So I'm going to try to wait for you to 25 answer, and if you could just try to wait til I'm

Page 278

- 1 the page, Deficiencies have increased with the
- 2 contractor's quality control component?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q And additionally, the contractor has
- 5 provided limited information on program weakness
- 6 despite the government's observations during this
- 7 performance period?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q Do you recall being requested -- requested
- 10 by the BOP for information on program weaknesses?
- 11 A No.
- 12 Q Do you know what the BOP is referencing with
- 13 respect to deficiencies having to be increased with
- 14 the contractor's quality control component?
- 15 A I do.
- 16 Q And what does that reference?
- 17 A That is the additional -- the addition of
- 18 multiple standards in our audit tool. It identifies
- 19 more areas in which we need -- that we want to focus
- 20 attention on, so it's -- although it -- it says it
- 21 is misleading because it says, Although it increases
- the number of deficiency, it increases the number of
- 23 indicators. So you're -- you're reviewing more --
- 24 maybe one standard three different ways.
- 25 Q So let me clarify this.

Page 279

- 1 So the audit tool was expanded to include
- 2 other areas which the contractor's performance would
- 3 be assessed; is that accurate?
- 4 A What they're -- they're speaking of --
- 5 they're -- they're speaking of the -- the internal
- 6 audit tool.
- 7 Q So -- yes.
- 8 So Adams or CCA's internal audit tool was
- 9 expanded in order -- which would capture additional
- maybe incidences that may or may not be perceived as
- 11 a deficiency?
- 12 A It was a more thorough audit tool.
- 13 Q More thorough audit tool.
- 14 And how did -- or I should say, do you know
- why that more audit tool -- more thorough audit tool
- 16 came to be?
- 17 A Every year they do a review of the -- of the
- 18 audit tool. Indicators are added or modified to
- 19 better serve the facility to increase compliance.
- 20 Q So prior to this period, April 1, 2016, had
- 21 there been other enhancements of the internal audit
- 22 tool?
- 23 A Every year the audit tool is reviewed and
- 24 modified as necessary.
- 25 Q But with respect to this particular period,

Page 280

- 1 as I understand your testimony, the audit tool had a
- 2 major overhaul?
- 3 A I wouldn't say specifically in this period.
- 4 I think it's referenced in -- in one period before
- 5 also.
- 6 Q So beginning maybe in 2015?
- 7 A That would be speculation. I would have to
- 8 look at it.
- 9 Q So do you know the reasons why the audit
- 10 tool became enhanced?
- 11 A It's -- it's really enhanced every year as
- 12 we learn more and as we -- we grow more. We
- 13 always -- we want it to improve every year, year
- 14 over year. So we're always going to be adding
- 15 things to it.
- 16 Q So this document reflects the BOP's
- observation that -- that the quality control
- 18 component, the audit tool, had indicated an increase
- 19 in deficiencies?
- 20 A Correct, the internal audit tool.
- 21 Q Exactly.
- So why do you think that there was not
- 23 previously an observation regarding increase in
- 24 deficiencies with respect to the use of the audit
- 25 tool?

| 1 | I, the undersigned, a Licensed Court |
|-----|--|
| 2 | Reporter of the State of Tennessee, do hereby |
| 3 | certify: |
| 4 | That the foregoing proceedings were |
| 5 | taken before me at the time and place herein set |
| 6 | forth; that any witnesses in the foregoing |
| 7 | proceedings, prior to testifying, were duly sworn; |
| 8 | that a record of the proceedings was made by me |
| 9 | using machine shorthand, which was thereafter |
| LO | transcribed under my direction; that the foregoing |
| L1 | transcript is a true record of the testimony given. |
| L2 | Further, that if the foregoing pertains |
| L3 | to the original transcript of a deposition in a |
| L4 | federal case, before completion of the proceedings, |
| L5 | review of the transcript [X] was [] was not |
| L6 | requested. |
| L7 | I further certify I am neither |
| L8 | financially interested in the action nor a relative |
| L 9 | or employee of any attorney or party to this action. |
| 20 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date |
| 21 | subscribed my name. |
| 22 | Dated: February 25, 2020 |
| 23 | Gurapet 11 A Miller Fruiz |
| 24 | Elisabeth A. Miller Lorenz |
| 25 | RMR, CRR, LCR No. 66 |